



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
02/15/2002	Michael Dennis Ryan	2010705	3496	
590 06/13/2003				
GREENBERG TRAURIG, P.C.		EXAMINER		
CKER DRIVE 60601-1732		FIDEI, I	FIDEI, DAVID	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3728		
		DATE MAILED: 06/13/2003	A.	
	02/15/2002 590 06/13/2003 G TRAURIG, P.C. CKER DRIVE	02/15/2002 Michael Dennis Ryan  590 06/13/2003  G TRAURIG, P.C.  CKER DRIVE	02/15/2002 Michael Dennis Ryan 2010705  590 06/13/2003 G TRAURIG, P.C.  CKER DRIVE 60601-1732  ART UNIT 3728	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			6
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/077,085	RYAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David T. Fidei	3728	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			
closed in accordance with the practice under a <b>Disposition of Claims</b>	Ex раπе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 4	153 U.G. 213.	
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1-9,14,15 and 18-28 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>10-13,16 and 17</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	ſ.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 15 February 2002 is/are		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disappro	oved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	bly to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No	
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).	
a)  The translation of the foreign language pro	• •		
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 10/077,085

Art Unit: 3728

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-9, 14, 15 and 22-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipate by Yoshiki et al (Patent no. 4,957,202). A container is disclosed for conventionally attaching an auxiliary commodity, such as a premium, column 1, lines 19, 20. In the embodiments of figures 1a- 4a a box is disclosed with a recessed cell 4 spanning two sides. A pushed in portion is defined between weakness lines 14/15 that forms at least one recessing flap in the embodiment of figure 1a, defined by the horizontal flap (not numbered), and at least one access panel adjacent to the originating panel to the extent recited in claims 1-3.

As to claims 4 and 5, the equivalent recessing flap defined between weakness lines 14/15 in all the embodiments has a vertical component defining a depth-gauging panel in the recessed cell.

With respect to claims 6-8, it is pointed out the carton of Yoshiki figures 1a, 3a, 4a may be turned upside-down, rotated 90 degrees to an upright position or visa-versa. Hence, what one considers to be a front, back or side is entirely meaningless.

As to claim 9, the "access panel" or font wall of figure 3a includes at least one "catch" 11.

The premium item includes an interactive element, the cap 5, that may be manipulated from both the originating panel side and the access panel side, claims 14, 15.

As to claims 22 and 23, a transparent cover "C" is envisioned in Yoshiki, see column 3, line 57.

Application/Control Number: 10/077,085

Art Unit: 3728

The thickness of the premium appears no greater than the depth of the cell and an additional push-in flap is foldable defined between weakness lines 2/16 as recited in claim 25.

The closure construction shown in phantom in figure 1b suggests the closure construction of claims 26 & 27.

Column 5, line 39 discloses the material of claim 28.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshiki et al (Patent no. 4,957,202) as applied to the claims above, and further in view of Brauner et al (Patent no. 5,379,886). The difference between the claimed subject matter and Yoshiki et al resides in the premium including a tray for housing the promotional item. While the premium of Yoshiki et al, is a tube product it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to package any premium article desired.

Brauner et al discloses that it has long been known and is fairly taught to provide a premium including a tray with a cover. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a premium with a tray as taught by Brauner, in order to encase the premium and protect it from damage.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 10-13, 16, 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/077,085

Art Unit: 3728

### REPLY BY APPLICANT OR PATENT OWNER TO THIS OFFICE ACTION

6. "In order to be entitled to reconsideration or further examination, the applicant or patent owner must reply to every ground of objection and rejection in this Office action. The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. The applicant 's or patent owner 's reply must appear throughout to be a bona fide attempt to advance the application or the reexamination proceeding to final action. A general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section.

The reply must be reduced to writing (emphasis added)", 37 CFR 1.111 (b) in part, see M.P.E.P. 714.02.

Pointing out specific distinctions means clearly indicating in the written response what features/elements or distinctions have been added to the claim/claims, where support is found in the specification for such recitations and how these features are not shown, taught, obvious or inherent in the prior art.

If no amendments are made to claims as applicant or patent owner believes the claims are patentable without further modification, the reply must distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the examiner 's action and must respond to every ground of objection and rejection in the prior Office Action in the same vain as given above, 37 CFR 1.111 (b), M.P.E.P. 714.02.

The examiner also points out, due to the change in practice as affecting final rejections, older decisions on questions of prematureness of final rejection or admission of subsequent amendments do not necessarily reflect present practice. "Under present practice, second or any subsequent actions on the merits <u>shall be final</u>, except where the examiner introduces a new ground of rejection that is neither necessitated by applicant's amendment of the claims nor based

Application/Control Number: 10/077,085 Page 5

Art Unit: 3728

on information submitted in an information disclosure statement filed during the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.97(c)" (emphasis mine), see MPEP 706.07(a).

Conclusion

7. Telephone inquiries regarding the status of applications or other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, "should be directed to the group clerical personnel and not to the examiners. In as much as the official records and applications are located in the clerical section of the examining groups, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information without contacting the examiners", M.P.E.P. 203.08. The Group clerical receptionist number is (703) 308-1148.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers or other general questions should be directed to Tech Center 3700 Customer Service at (703) 306-5648, email <a href="CustomerService3700@uspto.gov">CustomerService3700@uspto.gov</a>.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to David T. Fidei whose telephone number is (703) 308-1220. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 6:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached at (703) 308-2672. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit.

Allowed Files & Publication
Assignment Branch
Certificates of Correction
Drawing Corrections/Draftsman
Fee Increase Questions
(703) 305-8497
(703) 305-8497
(703) 305-8497
(703) 305-8497
(703) 305-8497
(703) 305-8497
(703) 305-8497
(703) 305-8497
(703) 305-8497
(703) 305-8497
(703) 305-8497
(703) 305-8497
(703) 305-8497
(703) 305-8497
(703) 305-8497
(703) 305-8497
(703) 305-8497
(703) 305-8497
(703) 305-8497
(703) 305-8497
(703) 305-8497
(703) 305-8497
(703) 305-8497

Fee Increase Questions (703) 305-8404/8. (703) 305-5125 Intellectual Property Questions Petitions/Special Programs (703) 305-9282

Petitions/Special Programs
Terminal Disclaimers (703)

(703) 305-8408

Application/Control Number: 10/077,085 Page 6

Art Unit: 3728

If the information desired is not provided above, or has been changed, please do not call the examiner (this is the latest information provided to him) but the general information help line below.

Information Help line Internet PTO-Home Page 1-800-786-9199 http://www.uspto.gov/

> David 'T. Fidei Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

dtf June 10, 2003